

Shaykh Mashhūr Hasan Āl Salmān
(hafidhahullāh)

ON ADVISING HAMAS ABOUT THE TREACHERY OF THE RĀFIDAH¹

Question:

“The [aggressor] Yahūd [presiding over the Zionist State] have attacked a hospital in Gaza and some Salafi brothers on social media are bringing up the errors of Hamas.”

Answer from Shaykh Mashhūr:

Hamas, and Allāh will take them to account, I deem to be truthful [in this matter of the hospital bombing] and I ask Allāh to save them from those around them and from that which the [aggressor] Yahūd [presiding over the Zionist State] have put forth, which behind it is actually that which it fights against. However, the deception is that Hamas could be influenced by the *Akādbeeb* [lies], *Arājeeef* [fake news], *Alā'eeb* [games] and *Turūbāt* [claims] from the Rawāfid. The Rawāfid are only concerned with their own affairs, and recently some of their leaders frankly stated that **“those people who attain a share in the victory for Gaza are in Iran”**²?! What is the affair of Iran? What does Iran have anything to do with this? This is a very dangerous

¹ Translation: 'AbdulHaq ibn Kofi ibn Kwesi al-Ashantī. Summarised from our Shaykh's Q&A session from his Saheeh Muslim class, dated Thursday 4th Rabī' ath-Thānī 1445 AH/19th October 2023 CE after 1:03: 47. It can be referred to here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FOx_oOqKdpA

² Translator's Note [TN]: this was stated by the leader of Hamas, Ismail Haniyeh.

statement. Allowing the entry of Iran into our country, may Allāh not decree this. This is a calamity.

So, in directing words to our brothers in Hamas [we say]: preserve the Sunnah, preserve the purity of Ahl us-Sunnah, fear Allāh in regards to Ahl us-Sunnah, free yourselves from the Rawāfid. For they are liars and Dajjālūn, they merely want to deceive you, and you are not only the main aim as rather all Ahl us-Sunnah are their targets. So, the *gbeerah* [protective jealousy] of some of our [Salafī] brothers, and warning against the Rawāfid, at a time of killing of people even from Hamas, and we do not want even a nail of a Muslim to be touched - but we fear that the Rawāfid have deceived them and promised them that they would get involved. I heard the statements of some of them, and you know more about this than me, that it was hoped that the position of Iran was other than what has occurred. This is a calamity.

I say: I have inspected their words in many instances, and it is authentic, that America, the [aggressor] Yahūd [presiding over the Zionist State] and Iran are all one. If there is any division, it is merely performative or an internal scheme. As for the truth and the reality, which is tangible and observable, is that Iran and the Rawāfid are our enemies, and we oppose them. Any proximity [between us and them] is incorrect. As Imām al-Awzā'ī (*rahimahullāh*) stated: “Any proximity in religion is incorrect.”

The fear from the Rawāfid is that they made promises [to Hamas], and the signs are evident. Every believer would be pleased at what the [aggressor] Yahūd [presiding over the Zionist State] did, who from those who bear witness that there is no god worthy of worship except Allāh and that Muhammad is His Messenger, would not be joyous with what the [aggressor] Yahūd [presiding over the state called 'Israel'] have done?

Shaykh ul-Islām Ibn Taymiyyah said: **“The Muslim who has no concern with the affairs of Muslims is not of them.”** There is a hadeeth in at-Tabarānī's *Awsat*, with a weak sanad, “*He is not of us who does not have any concern with the affairs of the Muslims*”,³ but I say, bārakAllāhu feekum,

³ [TN]: from Abu'l-'Āliyah from Hudhayfah and recorded in *al-Mu'jam al-Awsat*, vol.7, p.270; *as-Sagheer*, vol.2, p.131 Abū Nu'aym in *Akhbār Asbahān*, vol.2, p.252 – with a chain which is munkar as Abdullāh from Abū Ja'far is a munkar chain. Ibn Hibbān stated: “His narrations from other than from his father are acceptable”. The biography of 'Abdullāh bin Abī Ja'far can be found in *Tahdheeb ut-Tahdheeb*, vol.12, p.57 and vol.5, p.177. as-Suyūṭī mentions another route for it in *al-La'ālī al-*

what I fear, and this has become clear, is that there is a conspiracy in which those mostly at the receiving end are Ahl us-Sunnah wa'l-Jama'ah. The *gbeerah* [protective jealousy] of your brothers who have spoken and warned against the Rawāfid and bringing attention to Hamas about their association with the Rawāfid, is in its proper place. In reality a person may have the nerve to say

Masnū'ah, vol. 2, p.266 yet the chain is very weak as noted by al-Albānī as it contains narrators who are not credible and also those who are Majāheel [unknown narrators].

There is also a route of it from Ibn Mas'ūd (*radi Allāhu 'anhu*) reported by al-Hākim in *al-Mustadrak*, vol. 4., p.356; Abū 'Abdullāh ad-Daqāq, *Majlis ul-Imlā' fī Ru'yatullāh*, no.396; Ibn Bushrān, *al-Amālī*, no.395 – via the route of Ishāq bin Bishr and he is munkar. Adh-Dhahabī stated in *Talkhees ul-Mustadrak* “Ishāq and Muqātil are both neither thiqah nor Sādiq.”

Another route of the hadeeth is from Abū Dharr (*radi Allāhu 'anhu*) reported by at-Tabarānī, *al-Mu'jam al-Awsat*, vol.1, p.29 – via the route of Yazeed bin Rabee'ah from Abu'l-Ash'ath as-San'ānī from Abū 'Uthmān an-Nahdī from Abū Dharbah. Yazeed bin Rabee'ah was alone in reporting this and he is munkar. Al-Bukhārī stated about him “his ahādeeth are munkar”, an-Nasā'ī stated: “matrūk.” See *Mizān ul-I'tidāl*, vol.4, p.422. He was deemed weak by al-Haythamī in *Majma' uz-Zawā'id*, vol.10, p.433 and by al-'Irāqī in *Takhreej ul-Ihyā'*, vol.1, p.180.

There is another route of it from Anas bin Mālik (*radi Allāhu 'anhu*) reported by Ibn 'Adiyy, *al-Kāmil*, vol. 7, p.67; al-Bayhaqī, *Shu'b ul-Īmān*, vol. 7, p.361 – via the route of Wahb bin Rāshid from Farqad as-Sibkhī from Anas: this chain is munkar. Ad-Dāraquṭnī in said about Wahb bin Rāshid “matrūk” and Ibn Hibbān said “it is not permitted to utilise him in narrations at all”. See *Mizān ul-I'tidāl*, vol. 4, p.352. al-Bayhaqī stated, “the chain is weak as it contains Farqad as-Sibkhī who is da'eef and makes a lot of mistakes.”

There is another route of it from Hudhayfah (*radi Allāhu 'anhu*) via the route of Shaqeeq bin Salamah and reported by al-Hākim, *al-Mustadrak*, vol.4, p.352; Ibn al-Jawzī, *al-Mawdū'āt*, vol.3, p.132 – via Ishāq bin Bishr from Sufyān ath-Thawrī from al-A'mash from Shaqeeq bin Salamah: this chain is severely weak and munkar. In the biography of Ishāq bin Bishr in *Mizān ul-I'tidāl*, vol.1, p.184 Ibn Hibbān stated “is hadeeth are not permitted except if it is in the context of oddities.” Ad-Dāraquṭnī said about him, “a liar and matrūk.” Adh-Dhahabī stated in *at-Talkhees*, “I deem the report to be fabricated.” Ibn al-Jawzī stated, “this hadeeth is inauthentic.” Al-'Irāqī deemed him weak in *Takhreej ul-Ihyā'*, vol.2, p.180.

In conclusion then, all the routes of the hadeeth with the wording “*he is not of us who does not have any concern with the affairs of the Muslims*” – are all severely weak narrations and Imām al-Albānī combined all routes of the narration in *as-Silsilah ad-Da'eefah*, nos.309-312.

A munkar narration is a type of weak narration wherein one narrator is alone in reporting it yet he is not depended upon for such a solitary narration. For example, a narrator is alone in narrating a hadeeth yet when we inspect the narrator it is evident that the narrator is weak in terms of *dabt* [precision] and *'adalah* [credibility] and hence cannot be depended on as a narrator who alone reports this hadeeth. The only other narrators who may have reported similar are also all not credible or Majhūl narrators.

it, but such warning is in its proper place. We fear for Ahl us-Sunnah generally, and from Hamas specifically from the plots and conspiracies of the Rawāfid.

We ask Allāb to preserve us all with His Preservation, and to grant us and you all success to what Allāb Loves and is Pleased with, and all praise is due to Allāb